

### REMARKS

In response to the Office Action mailed May 20, 2005, the present application has been carefully reviewed and amended. Entry of the foregoing amendment and reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

In response to the election requirement set forth in the Office Action of May 20, 2005, applicant hereby elects, with traverse, Species B as illustrated in Figure 2. Applicant respectfully submits Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 15, 17, and 19 are readable thereon.

With respect to the Examiner's assertion that "the product a compact head up display could be used absent an illumination beam including data acquired from a light valve. Further, the compact head up display could be used with a device other than a firearm," applicant respectfully submits the illumination beam is required to transmit data from the light valve to the diffractive optic or hologram, and thus, the head up display could not be used absent an illumination beam.

Further, with respect to the assertion that the compact head up display could be used for a device other than a firearm, such assertion would be true of every claim in every application. Applicant respectfully directs the Examiner's attention to the recitation in Claim 1 of "a base for cooperatively engaging the firearm" and Claim 15 "a method of sighting a

firearm." Therefore, applicant respectfully submits Claim 15 is properly included in the present application.

If, however, the Examiner feels any further issues remain, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned so that such matters can be promptly resolved.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Brian B. Shaw, Registration No. 33,782  
HARTER, SECREST & EMERY LLP  
1600 Bausch & Lomb Place  
Rochester, New York 14604  
Telephone: (585) 231-1193  
Facsimile: (585) 232-2152

Dated: June 16, 2005